







### Overview of Proposal

August 2005

#### **Timeline**

- Discussion paper released 12 August 2005
- Consultation to continue
- Submissions close 5 September 2005
- Final assessment report late September 2005
- Ministers to consider late October 2005

### Proposal strengthens country of origin requirements

- "On request" option for unpackaged foods is dropped
- Country to be specified
- Print size and legibility requirements specified for unpackaged foods
- Strengthened provisions for specified unpackaged foods
- Declarations must be clear and unambiguous
- Consistency with trade practices law

# 'On request' option for unpackaged foods is dropped

- Earlier 'on request' option dropped
- Feedback from consumers, producers, retailers and industry indicated this option would be unworkable
- Will require information to be on a label on the food or on a sign associated with the display of the unpackaged food

### Country to be specified

- Unpackaged fish, fruit, vegetables and nuts will be required to specify the country of origin including locally produced product
- Previously, only imported produce had to be identified and the use of the word "imported" was sufficient
- Provides clearer distinction between local and imported product

# Print size and legibility requirements for unpackaged foods

- Signs associated with the display of unpackaged produce will require 9mm print
- Labels on produce and signs will have to be clear, legible, distinct from background
- Reinforce application of the legibility standard in the Food Standards Code to unpackaged foods

#### Current



#### Proposed



# Strengthening provisions for specified unpackaged foods

- Further-processed forms of unpackaged fish, fruit, vegetables and nuts will be required to specify the country of origin - e.g. dehydrated apples, sun-dried tomatoes, dried fish
- Previously, only packaged or imported fresh, whole produce had to carry country of origin information

#### Current



Proposed



### Declarations must be clear and unambiguous on packaged foods

- All packaged foods must carry country of origin information
- The name and address of manufacturer will not be considered sufficient
- The use of a logo or outline of the country will not be sufficient; the country name will be required
- Now there must be a declaration eg.
  "Product of Australia"; "Made in Canada"

# Declarations must be clear and unambiguous on packaged foods

- The country of origin information must be prominent and clear so as not to mislead
- Example: 100% Australian-owned should not have greater prominence than the declaration of origin

#### Current



Proposed



### Consistency with trade practices legislation

- Country of origin declarations must be consistent with trade practices legislation packaged and unpackaged foods
- There are established rules for the use of wording such as
  - "Product of Australia"
  - "Made in Australia"
  - "Made in Australia from local and imported ingredients"

### Balancing consumer information and costs

- Proposed standard will enable consumers to clearly identify Australian products
- We have not included labelling of ingredients
  - Loss of flexibility
  - Costs to industry; and
  - Likely flow on costs to consumers

### **Implementation**

- Overall package of measures will include the standard, user guides and an awareness program
- Manufacturers, producers and retailers can adopt new labelling as soon as standard is gazetted.
- Full implementation required following gazettal:
  - 6 months for unpackaged foods
  - 2 years for packaged foods to allow change over of labelling stock